

Patterns of Intelligence

CHAPTER 16

DECEPTION THROUGH TERMINOLOGY - PART 7 OF 7 CASE STUDIES OF DECEPTION

CASE STUDY #1

Let us consider the book: The Geatest Show on Earth - The Evidence For Evolution, the newest book (in 2012) by Richard Dawkins, who is proud to be an atheist.

Dawkins essentially worships Darwin. The title of his book implies that the theory of evolution has been proven to be true and that there is **tons of evidence** for the theory of evolution (i.e. **macro**evolution).

If a survey were taken of the "best" evolution book ever written, the book "The Greatest Show on Earth - The Evidence for Evolution," would get a lot of votes.

Mr. Dawkins is a very, very famous atheist and he has written several pro-evolution books, one of which was mentioned above (The Ancestor's Tale). Dawkins himself considers The Greatest Show on Earth to be his best evolution book ever, though many others consider The Selfish Gene to be his most important book.

Obviously, each and every scientific example in this new book is an example of **microevolution!! Do I even need to say that?? Not once does he provide even the slightest proof of **macro**evolution ever happening.**

But as the reader might suspect, **Dawkins does not mention the terms "**micro**evolution" or "**macro**evolution" in this entire book!!**

He did mention those terms in his older book, mentioned above, but in doing this he used deception to make them appear to be the same thing.

In The Greatest Show on Earth he doesn't even bother to mention either of these two terms. The case is closed as far as he is concerned.

In this book Dawkins predictably uses massive number of examples from "**micro**evolution" to "prove" that Darwinian atheism is a true principle and that Darwin was right - there is no God and that everyone should be an atheist!!!

All Dawkins had to do to accomplish his great deception was do away with the terms "microevolution" and "macroevolution" completely and use example after example of "microevolution," but use the term "evolution"!!!

In this new book he did not even bother to claim that microevolution and macroevolution meant the same thing.

This tactic allowed him to claim that there are many, many examples of Darwinian evolution (i.e. macroevolution) and that there is no God, all without discussing a single example of macroevolution!!

Here is a specific example of what Dawkins did.

On pages 116-133, inclusive, he talks about a very sophisticated and long-lasting set of experiments at Michigan State University designed by Dr. Richard Lenski. Indeed, I totally agree it was a very impressive set of experiments!!

These experiments involved the *Escherichia coli* bacteria, better known as the *E. coli* bacteria.

Dawkins calls these experiments "... a beautiful demonstration of evolution in action." (page 117) Note his use of the term "evolution."

In 1988, Dr. Lenski and his team started the experiments by putting a specific type of *E. coli* in 12 different flasks. A certain amount of "food" was put in each flask and every day a certain percentage of the surviving bacteria were taken out of the flask and put in a newly cleaned flask.

The purpose of the experiment was to watch how the size, eating habits, etc. of the bacteria changed over time in 12 isolated flasks. The changes in the 12 flasks were independent of each other because the bacteria were never moved from one of the 12 flasks to a different flask. They were always isolated from one another.

For more than 20 years, by the time Dawkins wrote his book, this experiment had been continuously going on every day (and it may still be going on).

All 12 of these groups of bacteria, over the years, increased in body size via natural selection (i.e. survival of the fittest), which is an example of microevolution. There were never any new genes or new DNA segments, only changed nucleotides (a "mutation" or "change" of a nucleotide, in this case, but never a new gene) during cell division.

One of the twelve "tribes" (as Dawkins called them) even gained the ability to digest citrate (which is related to the substance that makes lemons sour) as if it

was glucose. But as of press time, none of the other "tribes" was able to digest citrate.

The ability to digest citrate required a sequence of two specific mutations, rather than just one mutation, which is why only one group coincidentally developed this trait.

At no time was a new gene discovered, only mutations/changes to specific nucleotides were detected.

Here is my point: in the eighteen pages Dawkins talked about these experiments, he used the terms: **evolution, evolutionary, evolutionist, evolving, evolve, or evolved: 47 times!!**

He never used the terms "microevolution" or "macroevolution."

So what is wrong with him using a form of the term "evolution" so many times?

In the entire experiment there was *never, never, never any new (i.e. additional) genetic material*. There were no new genes, meaning there was no new DNA structure. No new species of bacteria were created. No **macroevolution** was observed.

So if no **macroevolution** was observed, why did Mr. Dawkins use variations of the term "evolution" so many times? And why did he think this experiment was an example of "evolution?"

Remember, in order for "evolution" to have created human DNA from the "first living cell," then many thousand times "new genetic information" or "new genetic material" had to form in our ancestor species (i.e. creating the assumed thousands of species, each with one or more new genes, on the phylogenetic tree that are between the "first living cell" and human DNA as claimed by evolution). Each new species must have at least one new gene, by definition (or it is not a new species according to my definitions)!!

No one observed any new genetic material during the Lenski experiments!! But **microevolution** was clearly observed because of "mutations" (in this context the term "mutation" simply means a nucleotide was changed to a different nucleotide so technically it was **microevolution**).

In other words, the experiments had absolutely nothing to do with **macroevolution**, which is true evolution. Every discovery made in the study was nothing but **microevolution** in action.

Guess how many times Dawkins used the term "microevolution" in these pages or in his entire book? As I just mentioned, the answer was zero.

In fact, if you look at the Index at the back of the book, there is not even a listing for the terms: **micro**evolution or **macro**evolution!!

And that is precisely my point. Examples from "**micro**evolution" were shown, but variations of the term "evolution" (implying **macro**evolution) were constantly used instead of the term "**micro**evolution," which is the only thing that was observed!!

This example is typical of the approach of evolutionists. They use examples from **micro**evolution to push the term "evolution" which students assume means "**macro**evolution."

But it is nothing but a deception.

This is why they want to do away with the terms **micro**evolution and **macro**evolution and claim they are "creation scientist" terms!! They want to use examples of **micro**evolution and claim they are examples of "evolution."

Almost all scientists, and perhaps all university scientists, use the term "evolution" instead of the more accurate term "**micro**evolution," as Dawkins did.

Ponder this next statement over and over:

Evolutionists use examples from **micro**evolution, but they use the term "**evolution**" instead of **micro**evolution. But when the general public hears that scientists have proven "**evolution**" (i.e. which was really **micro**evolution), they think that scientists have proven Darwin was right and that human DNA "evolved" from the "first living cell" and that God does not exist. But this would require a massive number of examples of true **macro**evolution, which has **never been observed!!** But this deception is a good way to get converts to atheism.

In short, students believe that Adam and Eve never existed and that God does not exist by the simple tactic of using very clever and very deceptive definitions!!

As if this weren't enough, Mr. Dawkins also ridiculed and insulted "creationists" several times, such as taking a poke at Andrew Schlafly.

It is interesting to note that Andrew Schlafly is an attorney, which likely means he has very good logic. Biologists must **not** have very good logic because they can't seem to keep their terminology straight!! But, of course, their ignorance is self-inflicted.

The student reading this book must get in the habit that any time a scientist claims they have "proof" or "evidence" of "evolution" to immediately ask if the scientist has proven that at least one new functional gene has been created by

random accidents to an existing DNA structure!!!! The answer will never be 'yes'. I will explain why this is impossible later in this book.

In fact, what Dawkins did is being done in every scientific journal, almost every biology classroom, etc. etc. every day.

All scientists had to do to "prove" the theory of evolution is true was eliminate the terms **micro**evolution and **macro**evolution from the scientific dictionaries (or to pretend they mean the same thing, or that one is lots of examples of the other, when they do talk about them).

This gives them license to lie by using examples from **micro**evolution but use the term "evolution." Presto - they had "proof" that Darwin was right and that there is no God without providing a single shred of scientific evidence!!!

No magician has ever done it better.

But as mentioned above, even if the theory of evolution was not mathematically absurd, and even if it was a true scientific principle, this fact would have absolutely nothing to do with whether God existed or not!! They are unrelated issues.

The theory of evolution is literally the most sophisticated and spiritually deadly deception in the history of the world!! It is a magic trick of terminology to create atheists out of nothing (i.e. out of zero scientific evidence).

CASE STUDY #2

Some years ago I watched a television show about research being done in Africa. The speaker constantly used the term "**evolution**." Not once did I hear her use the term "**micro**evolution," yet **micro**evolution is the only thing her scientists were researching.

She constantly indicated, over and over again, that the researchers she was working with had proven the theory of evolution. She also said that "evolution" was ongoing today and that **humans are still evolving**.

If she had used the term "**micro**evolution" instead of "evolution," everything she said would have been true. But she was doing what is very, very commonly done and that is to deceive students into thinking that "evolution" (i.e. **macro**evolution) is true by talking about examples of **micro**evolution, but using the term "evolution."

Not once did she talk about new genetic material (e.g. a new gene) or a new DNA structure.

Everything this lady talked about, that was scientifically verifiable, was nothing but **micro**evolution. But she never used the term **micro**evolution!!

What she did is as common as talking about bones among evolutionists.

Scientists have never, never, never proven that a single example of "**macro**evolution" has ever happened on this planet!!

This means that scientists have never, never, never proven a single example of "**evolution**" has ever happened on this planet!!

What scientists have seen is **micro**evolution and then they pretend that the evidence of **micro**evolution is evidence for **evolution** (i.e. **macro**evolution)!!

This is a lie!!! It is fraud at its worst because it is intentionally causing students to question their beliefs in God as taught by their parents and religious leaders.

The key to their deception is that when a student hears the term "evolution" they are thinking about Darwin." There are two flaws with this, and they are *intentional* flaws!!! First, Darwin never observed **macro**evolution. Second, no one else has ever observed **macro**evolution.

It is literally the greatest scam in the history of science (and there have been a lot of scams in science!!).

This is precisely why it is so critical to have a perfect understanding of these terms!!!

These seven chapters on the deceptions of evolutionists can be summarized in one sentence:

"Evolutionists ignore the terms **microevolution and **macro**evolution; or claim there is no difference between the terms **micro**evolution and **macro**evolution; or that **macro**evolution is lots of examples of **micro**evolution; then they use examples of **micro**evolution to claim there are examples of "evolution." They use these tactics to get converts to atheism.**

It is the most pernicious lie in the history of science. They use one lie to set up another lie.

Their subtle lies remind me of the words spoken by Alma the Younger to Zeezrom:

*4 And thou seest that we know that thy plan was a very subtle plan, **as to the subtlety of the devil**, for to lie and to deceive this people that thou mightest set them against us, to revile us and to cast us out--
Alma 12:4*

Indeed, creation scientists have been "cast out" of being allowed to present their case to the students.