

Patterns of Intelligence

CHAPTER 24

LET US ASSUME EVOLUTION WERE TRUE

If evolution were true; then every one of our 10,000 consecutive "ancestor species" would have had unique DNA (by definition) and thus in many cases a unique "morphing of the embryo" algorithm.

Let us assume that the 3,000 of our most recent 10,000 ancestor species needed a "morphing of the embryo" algorithm.

Every one of these 3,000 ancestors would have needed a significantly different morphing of the embryo algorithm than what we humans have. The further you go back on our phylogenetic tree the more different the morphing of the embryo algorithms would have been!!!

To think that totally random and totally accidental mutations to the DNA of these 3,000 ancestor species could have coincidentally created the necessary highly sophisticated morphing of the embryo algorithms, for each of these species, and that the morphing of the embryo algorithms were significantly different in each of our ancestor species (!!!), and all of this was by pure accident, is ludicrous beyond human comprehension.

(Appendix B will go into this issue in more detail)

For example, imagine 3,000 computer programs, ranging in complexity from a program written by a child, to add two numbers together, all the way to a program written to put astronauts in orbit around the moon.

Could you take the child's program (program #1) and randomly mutate it into program #2 and then randomly mutate program #2 into program #3 and so on and so on until program #2,999 is randomly mutated into program #3,000. Obviously not!!

But the DNA of a mouse is massively more complex than the computer program to put astronauts in orbit around the moon!!

When a "child species" is created from a "parent species" (according to evolution) there were not just new genes, there had to be massive, highly sophisticated changes to the morphing of the embryo algorithm, which was demonstrated in the prior two chapters!!

A new species not only needs at least one new gene, plus nucleotides which support the new genes, but would almost certainly require changes to the massively complex computer program called the morphing of the embryo algorithm!!

A **single error** in the algorithms, as one example, could spell doom for the baby by creating **billions of errors** during the asymmetric cell divisions during the creation of the brain, etc.

When such errors happen, the "child" generally dies and is naturally aborted unless the damage is "minor" (that is a relative term because there is no such thing as a "minor" birth defect unless it happens very, very late in the morphing of the embryo and is mostly aesthetic).

If evolution were true, this level of accuracy in human DNA would have needed to be in the DNA of every ancestor species of humans, meaning when a new species was created by "evolution" highly sophisticated changes needed to be made in the new and improved highly sophisticated morphing of the embryo algorithms to create an even more sophisticated set of algorithms to create the new and improved child species!!

In other words, if 99.99% of these nucleotides (including those involved with the morphing of the embryo) were correct for the new species, and 0.01% were incorrect, the brain of the new species (as one example) would never be fully functional.

That is why I keep asking - where are the failures of evolution in the fossil record!!

Yet, this process is exactly the way evolution had to happen from ancestor species to ancestor species.

There needed to be billions of "beneficial random mistakes" and virtually zero "damaging random mistakes."

What nonsense.

Yes, someone could change the assumptions I have made, but it wouldn't change the outcome. The theory of evolution is scientific nonsense.

In general, the more sophisticated a "computer program" is, whether an algorithm on DNA or the binary code of a computer program, the more sensitive it is to unexpected errors.

But the morphing of the embryo algorithms are far more sensitive to errors because the structure is tree-like (i.e. like a pedigree chart; once there is an error it is carried upstream and duplicated and spread out as the tree gets wider).

And the algorithms on human DNA are far, far more sophisticated and compact than any "gigantic and sophisticated" computer program ever written by humans.

Can you comprehend trying to write a new computer program, but all of your source code had to have logic that operated in a pedigree chart manner, similar to the way that DNA information is carried through cell divisions, and the new program must create functions that constantly morph (during intermediate steps) similar to the way a fetus constantly morphs?? And each intermediate step had to be fully functioning but only partially complete?

The DNA needed to build the human brain is so sophisticated that there is zero margin of error in those sections of the DNA which are involved with the morphing of the embryo algorithms of the human brain!!

And there is zero margin of error as evolution claims to go from one species to the next.

In other words, you must take the incomprehensively complex morphing of the embryo algorithms of a parent species and **randomly** mutate the nucleotides and end up with **an even more complex morphing of the embryo algorithm** for the new and improved child species. And you must do this 3,000 consecutive times just to create human beings!! What absolute nonsense!!

Shall we talk about the sophistication of creating the heart, the eyes, the reproductive organs, the veins, the arteries (where timing is critical), the liver, the hair, the nerves, etc? And all of these body parts are morphing at the same time our brain is morphing during the morphing of the embryo algorithms!!

What does the human brain look like, in the fetus, eight weeks after conception? What does the human brain look like nine weeks after conception? What does it look like each week after conception?

But as this brain is morphing after conception, inside the fetus, so are the bones, the heart, the liver, the veins and arteries, the lymph system, the kidneys, the hair, the reproductive organ(s), the toes, the eyes, the nerves, etc. All of these things are morphing at the same time inside the fetus!!

Furthermore, a boy and a girl will have vastly different morphing of the embryo algorithms due to their different sexual features.

How would you like to sit in jail until you could recreate, from scratch, the morphing of the embryo algorithms for human DNA?

How would you like to sit in jail until you could create, from scratch, the morphing of the embryo algorithms for human DNA by making nothing but random accidents to an original, simple DNA strand of a single-celled organism (which obviously did not have a morphing of the embryo algorithm)?

You would be in jail a lot longer than the claimed 3 billion years of evolution or whatever their number is this week.

Do you really think that randomly mutating the original simple DNA is going to get you out of jail??

How in the world could many billions of random errors (i.e. random mutations) to the DNA of our ancestor species have created such sophistication!!

And we must keep asking ourselves; "where are all of the failed species, which didn't survive due to invalid mutations, in the fossil record??!!" They are not to be found.

In fact, for each new species there would likely be many trillions of failed attempts to create the new species. Where is the evidence for all of the many trillions of failed attempts at creating a single new species??!!

But no person will ever comprehend what I am trying to say because their DNA (and mine as well) will always be far more complex than their ability to comprehend it!!

As one person cleverly put it:

"If the brain were simple enough to understand, we would be too simple to understand it."

Quoted in: Listening to Prozac by Peter D. Kramer

The DNA also includes the model of what the animal will look like when the morphing stops. That's right, there must be something to tell each cell division that it is time to stop dividing asymmetrically!! This is **trillions of more pieces of information** that also must be built into the DNA of the fertilized egg.

All of this must be on the DNA of the fertilized egg according to evolution because evolution does not teach that humans have a spirit (whether the human spirit, assigned to that body, has anything to do with the morphing of the embryo is obviously unknown but this concept would be flatly rejected by evolutionists anyway).

How did all of the right nutrients and oxygen get to just the right place at just the right time as the fetus was being built, especially before the baby started

breathing? How did so many different types of cells get built and put in just the right place at just the right time? How were the cells attached to each other in just the right way at just the right time?

Scientists cannot comprehend how the instructions on the DNA of the fertilized egg coordinate all of the building of blood vessels, organs, bones, brain cells, brain connectors, the proteins on the outside of the cells (which attach to the proteins on the outside of contiguous cells), etc. all at the same time.

Oh, it gets worse. In some cases, different parts of the body must be completed before contiguous parts of the body. As already mentioned, the blood vessels must be completely enclosed and completed before blood is "put" into the circulatory system.

But humans have many trillions of cells, several major organs (including the brain), etc. etc.!!

Quite frankly, no human could have designed all the complexities of the human body, especially the brain. And certainly no human could have designed the DNA strand in the fertilized egg which contains all of the instructions to coordinate and build a human being, including its brain!! And all of the finished parts are built **simultaneously** during the morphing of the embryo!!

The sophistication of the nucleotides on DNA which are involved in the morphing of the embryo are far, far beyond comprehension!!!

We humans cannot graphically visualize the massive amount of intelligence built into human DNA unless we could watch the morphing of the embryo and understand how the DNA coordinates all of this. But we humans are not capable of understanding these algorithms, thus we cannot even begin to appreciate the intelligence behind their existence!!

Saying that the morphing of the embryo algorithms came to exist by "chance" is far more absurd than saying that the most complex and fastest computer processor on earth came to exist by an explosion in a roller skate factory.

In fact, it should be obvious to the reader that DNA cannot contain enough information to control the morphing of the embryo. This indicates quite conclusively that something on the "other side of the veil" (i.e. our spirit or something else we cannot see) must be coordinating this phenomenon.

GENETIC EVIDENCE FOR CREATION SCIENCE

Evolutionists claim that humans have been around for 100,000 years. This means our ancestors of 100,000 years ago would have had **exactly** the same

brain as we humans have today. If that were true, why weren't our ancestors of 100,000 years ago driving around in automobiles and flying in jet airplanes? They easily would have had the intelligence to do all of that.

But more importantly, at the known rate of mutations of DNA, if our DNA had been on this earth 100,000 years ago, there would be far, far more genetic diseases in humans than there are now; both in terms of types of genetic diseases and the percent of people affected by these genetic diseases.

For example, if there were exactly 8 grandchildren of the first human beings of 100,000 years ago, and one of them had a genetic defect, then 1 out of every 8 people on this planet would also have this genetic disease today!!

But the fact that 1/8th of the human population do not have the same genetic disease is an indication that the first humans had virtually perfect DNA, not haphazardly put together DNA.

Every shred of evidence is that the theory of evolution is false. But don't hold your breath waiting for the scientific community to admit the creation scientists are right.

A CLAIMED DEFENSE OF EVOLUTION

One of the claims to support the theory of evolution is that evolution has no direction, meaning that what evolution creates is not necessarily what you want or expect it to create. In other words, evolution has no goal in making the new and improved species.

OK, let me give evolutionists a challenge. Take the binary code (i.e. executable code) for a version of the Microsoft Windows 7 operating system and make 1 million **random changes** (i.e. mutations) to the binary code of this program. In other words, your algorithm must randomly pick 1 million places on the binary code and then randomly add a '0' or '1' in that slot, delete one or more 'bits' at that slot or change whatever is in that slot.

Then run the new executable code and see if you end up with a superior, meaning more functional, operating system!!

If you don't end up with a superior program the first time, start over and use this technique over and over again until you come up with a solid, superior program than Windows 7.

I don't care what the "new" program you just made does (i.e. I am not giving you any **direction** for what the new program does), all I care about is that it does something useful or really cool and is more sophisticated than Windows 7. The

new program does not even have to be an operating system; it could be a game or a knitting handbook or a really cool calculator or it could synchronize a laser show.

In fact, try this method of "programming" a few billion times and see if you end up with a superior program than Windows 7 in any one of these attempts. I don't care what the "new" program does; only that it is more sophisticated than Windows 7 and that you made 1 million random changes to the original binary code.

When you do create a superior program in this manner, send me an email with the new program.